

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,358	358 01/15/2004		Peggy Hasan	LUTZ 2 00270	5323
48116	7590	10/26/2006		EXAMINER	
	ARPE/LUC		RAMAKRISHNAIAH, MELUR		
1100 SUPERIOR AVE SEVENTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELA	AND, OH	44114	2614		
				DATE MAILED: 10/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/758,358	HASAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Melur Ramakrishnaiah	2614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory portion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 capable     2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro						
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-11 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 7 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by the less of th	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate					

Application/Control Number: 10/758,358

Art Unit: 2614

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ikeda (US 2002/0067291A1) in view of Kim (US2002/0198767) and Findikli et al. (US PAT: 6,529,727).

Regarding claim 7, Ikeda discloses a method of handling toll payments to a wireless toll payment service via wireless communication network having a plurality of base stations (3, fig. 1) and mobile switching center (reads on 4, fig. 1), the method comprising: sensing via a third network element (3, fig. 1) that subscriber has a wireless communication device (1, fig. 1) that is within the range of a base station (3, fig. 1) located at a toll plaza (11, fig. 1, paragraphs: 0030-0039).

Ikeda differs from claim 7 in that he does not specifically teach the following: receiving a wireless toll payment service subscription request from the subscriber at a mobile switching center in the network, receiving a wireless toll payment activation request from the subscriber at a mobile switching center in the network, billing the subscriber for a toll if the subscriber has subscriber to the toll payment service and has activated toll payment service.

However, Kim discloses fee collection and method of motor vehicle which teaches the following: billing the subscriber for a toll to his telephone bill (paragraphs:

0057-0059); however, neither Ikeda nor Kim specifically teach the following: receiving a wireless toll payment service subscription request from the subscriber at a mobile switching center in the network, receiving a wireless toll payment activation request from the subscriber at a mobile switching center in the network. However, it is well known to go through activation procedure in order to receive services from mobile communication system by using MSC as evidenced by Findikli (col. 6 lines 1-40).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Ikeda's system to provide for the following: receiving a wireless toll payment service subscription request from the subscriber at a mobile switching center in the network, receiving a wireless toll payment activation request from the subscriber at a mobile switching center in the network, billing the subscriber for a toll if the subscriber has subscriber to the toll payment service and has activated toll payment service as this arrangement would provide an alternative method for paying tolls by including in mobile telephone charges as taught by Ikeda, thus facilitating user convenience.

## 3. Claims 1-6 and 8-11 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is (571)272-8098. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 Hr schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curt Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Melur Ramakrishnaiah Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2614